

RECEIVED

2012 JUN 29 PM 4: 43

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK  
EPA REGION III, PHILA. PA

UNITED STATES  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

In the Matter of: )  
)  
Chem-Solv, Inc., formerly trading as )  
Chemicals and Solvents, Inc. )  
)  
and )  
)  
Austin Holdings-VA, L.L.C. )  
)  
Respondents. )

Docket No. RCRA-03-2011-0068

COMPLAINANT'S  
INITIAL POST-HEARING BRIEF

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION .....	1
I. APPLICABLE STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.....	2
A. RCRA Subtitle C.....	2
B. Federal and State “Authorized” Hazardous Waste Programs.....	3
II. APPLICABLE STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.....	6
A. Procedural History .....	6
B. Summary of Facts .....	13
1. The Respondents and the Facility .....	13
2. EPA and VADEQ Facility Inspections.....	13
3. Information Request Letters and Responses.....	14
4. Pit Water and Pit Sludge Storage in the Warehouse and in the Pit.....	14
5. Pit Sampling and Analysis .....	16
6. Disposal of Pit Sludge and Removal of the Pit.....	17
7. Sodium Hydrosulfide Material .....	17
8. Used and Discarded Aerosol Cans.....	19
III. BURDENS OF PRESENTATION AND PERSUASION.....	20
IV. SELECTED CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES.....	22
A. Flushing of Lines .....	25
B. The Blend Room Drain.....	26
C. Alleged Observation of EPA’s Sampling .....	30
D. Level of Solids in the Pit.....	32
V. COUNT-BY-COUNT DISCUSSION .....	37
A. Count 1 - Storage of Hazardous Waste at the Facility Without a Permit, Interim Status or a Valid Exemption.....	37
1. Storage of Hazardous Waste in the Acid Pit.....	37
2. Storage of Hazardous Waste Sodium Hydrosulfide in the Warehouse.....	95
3. Respondents Did Not Qualify for the Accumulation Exemption .....	146
4. Conclusion .....	150
B. Count II – Failure to Make Required Hazardous Waste Determinations.....	150
1. Hazardous Waste Determination Requirements .....	150
2. Acid Pit .....	151
3. Failure to make Hazardous Waste Determinations on Discarded Aerosol Cans and Their Contents .....	155
C. Count III – Failure to Provide Secondary Containment for the Acid Pit .....	209
1. Secondary Containment Allegations .....	209
2. Containment and Release Detection Regulatory Requirements .....	210
3. Relevant Evidence .....	211

	4. Respondents Failed to Provide Required Secondary Containment for the Acid Pit.....	213
D.	Count IV – Respondents Failed to Have and Maintain the Required Written Tank Assessment Certification Statements for the Acid Pit.....	214
	1. Tank Assessment Certification Failure Allegations .....	214
	2. Containment and Detection of Release Regulatory Requirements .....	214
	3. Relevant Evidence .....	215
	4. Respondent Failed to Provide Required Secondary Containment.....	217
E.	Count V – Respondent Failed to Conduct Required “Once Daily” Operating Inspections of the Acid Pit.....	218
	1. Acid Pit Inspection Failure Allegations.....	218
	2. Regulatory Requirements.....	219
	3. Relevant Evidence .....	219
	4. Respondents Failed to Perform Required Tank System Daily Operating Day Inspections of the Acid Pit .....	221
F.	Count VI – Respondents Failed to Implement and Comply With Volatile Organic Air Emission Control Standards Applicable to Them and to the Acid Pit .....	222
	1. Subpart CC Air Emission Control Implementation Failure.....	222
	2. Regulatory Requirements.....	224
	3. Relevant Evidence .....	224
	4. Respondents Failed to Comply with Applicable Volatile Emission Control Requirements for the Hazardous Waste Storage Tank .....	227
G.	Count VII - Respondents Failed to Comply with Applicable Tank System Closure Requirements for the Hazardous Waste Storage Tank Known as the Acid Pit.....	227
	1. Closure and Post-Closure Care Allegations.....	227
	2. Regulatory Requirements .....	228
	3. Relevant Evidence .....	230
	4. Respondents Failed to Comply with Applicable Closure and Post-Closure Care Requirements .....	233
	5. Respondents Remain Obligated to Perform a Proper and Complete Tank System Closure .....	234
VI.	DETERMINATION OF CIVIL PENALTY AMOUNT.....	235
	A. Count I - Respondents Violated 40 C.F.R. § 270.1(b), and RCRA § 3005(a) and (e), 42 U.S.C. § 6925(a) and (e) .....	237
	B. Count II – Respondents Violated 40 C.F.R. § 262.11 .....	239
	C. Count III - Respondents Violated 40 C.F.R. § 264.193(a) .....	240
	D. Count IV - Respondents Violated 40 C.F.R. §264.192(g).....	242
	E. Count V - Respondents Violated 40 C.F.R. §264.195(d) .....	244
	F. Count VI - Respondents Violated 40 C.F.R. §§ 264.1080(b) and 264.1084(b).....	245
	G. Count VII - Respondents Violated 40 C.F.R. § 264.197 .....	246
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	247

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASE LAW

#### Federal Judicial Decisions:

- American Chem. Council v. EPA*, 337 F.3d 1060, 1062 (D.C. Cir. 2003)
- American Petroleum Institute v. EPA*, 661 F.2d 340, 352, 354 (5th Cir. 1981)
- American Petroleum Znst. v. EPA*, 906 F.2d 729,733 (D.C. Cir. 1990)
- American Title Ins. Co. v. Lacelaw Corp.*, 861 F2d. 224, 226 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988)
- Arnold v. Ben Knowsky, Inc.*, 361 U.S. 38, 393 (1960)
- Barnes et. al. v. Owens-Corning Fiberglass*, 201 F.3d 815, 829 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000)
- Bellefonte Re Ins. Co. v. Argonaut Ins. Co.*, 757 F2d 523, 528 (2d Cir. 1985)
- Beth Israel Hospital v.NLRB*, 437 U.S. 482, 493, 502 (1978)
- Chemical Waste Mgmt., Znc. v. EPA*, 976 F.2d 2, 8 (D.C. Cir. 1992)
- City of Chicago v. Environmental De& Fund*, 51 1 U.S. 328, 331 (1994)
- Consolidated Rail Corp. v. Providence & Worcester Co.*, 540 F. Supp. 1210, 1220 (D. Dela. 1982)
- Davis v. A.G. Edwards and Sons, Inc.*, 823 F2d 105, 108 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987)
- Ferguson v. Neighborhood Housing Services*, 780 F2d 549, 551 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986)
- Florida Power & Light Co. v. EPA*, 145 F.3d. 1414, 1416-17 (D.C. Cir. 1998)
- General Motors Corp. v. EPA*, 363 F.3d 442,444 (D.C. Cir. 2004)
- Giannone v. United States Steel Corp.* 238 F. 2d 544, 547 (3d Cir. 1956)
- Giles v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Co.*, 405 F. Supp. 719, 725 n. 2 (N.D.Ala. 1975)
- Hazardous Waste Treatment Council v. EPA*, 861 F.2d 270, 271 (D.C. Cir. 1988)
- Hill v. FTC*, 124 F2d 104, 106 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1941)
- Holiday Inns, Inc. v. Alberding*, 683 F.2d 931, 935 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982)
- Jones v. Morehead*, 68 U.S. 155 (1863)
- Meyers v. Manchester Insurance & Indemnity Co.*, 572 F2d 134 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1978)
- Mull v. Ford Motor Co.*, 368 F.2d 713, 716 (2d. Cir. 1966)

*Schott v. Motorcycle Supply Inc. v. American Honda Motor Co.*, 976 F.2d. 58, 61 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir 1992)  
*State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v Worthington*, 405 F. 2d 683, 686 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1968)  
*SEC v. Ralston Purina Co.*, 346 U.S. 119, 126 (1953)  
*Seven-Up Bottling Co. v. Seven-Up Co.*, 420 F. Supp. 1246, 1250-51 (E.D. Mo. 1976)  
*U.S. v. First City National Bank of Houston*, 386 U.S. 361, 366 (1967)  
*U.S. v. General Motors Corp.*, 561 F.2d 923, 924 (D.C. Cir. 1977)  
*Waste Management of Illinois v. EPA*, 945 F.2d 419,420 (D.C. Cir. 1991)  
*Weyerhaeuser, Inc. v. Castle*, 590 F.2d 1011, 1040 (D.C. Cir. 1978)  
*White v. Arco/Polymers, Inc.*, 720 F.2d 1391, 1396 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983)

**EPA Administrative Decisions:**

*In re Bil-Dry Corp.*, 9 E.A.D. 577, 596 (EAB 2001)  
*In re Brenntag Great Lakes, LLC*, 2004 EPA ALJ Lexis 18  
*In re The Bullen Companies., Inc.*, 9 E.A.D. 620, 624, n.7; 632 (EAB 2001)  
*In re Capozzi*, 11 E.A.D. 10, 19-20 n.16 (EAB 2003)  
*In re City of Detroit*, 3 E.A.D. 514 (CJO 1991)  
*In re City of Salisbury*, 10 E.A.D. 263, 278-79 (EAB 2002)  
*In re Fordson Engineering Corp.*, 25 B.R. 506, 509 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1982)  
*In re Great Lakes Div. Of Nat'l Steel Corp.*, 5 E.A.D. 355, 363 n. 20 (EAB 1994)  
*In re Martin Electronics, Inc.*, 2 E.A.D. 381, 385 (CJO 1987)  
*In re: Norma J. Echevarria and Frank J. Echevarria*, 5 E.A.D. 626, 638 (EAB 1994)  
*In re Ocean State Asbestos Removal Inc.*, 7 E.A.D. 522,530 (EAB 1998)  
*In re Roger Antkiewicz & Pest Elimination Products of America*, 8 E.A.D. 218, 227 (EAB 1999)  
*In the Matter of Sandoz, Inc.*, 2 E.A.D. 324 (CJO 1987)  
*In re Standard Scrap Metal Co.*, 3 E.A.D. 267, 272 (CJO 1990)  
*In re New Waterbury. Ltd.* 5 E.A.D 529, 540 (EAB 1994)

## **REFERENCES:**

### **Regulatory:**

40 C.F.R. Part 19

40 C.F.R. Part 22 (*Consolidated Rules*)

40 C.F.R. § 260

40 C.F.R. Part 261, Subpart D

40 C.F.R. Part 262

40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subpart G, H, and Subparts AA, BB, CC

40 C.F.R. § 270

40 C.F.R. § 271

42 U.S.C. § 6903

42 U.S.C. §§ 6921-6939, Subtitle C

RCRA § 3005

RCRA § 3008

48 *Fed. Reg.* 14492 (April 4, 1983)

50 *Fed. Reg.* 614, 642 (Jan. 4, 1985)

65 *Fed. Reg.* 46606 (July 31, 2000), June 20, 2003

68 *Fed. Reg.* 36925 (June 20, 2003), July 10, 2006

71 *Fed. Reg.* 27216 (May 10, 2006), July 30, 2008

73 *Fed. Reg.* 44168 (July 30, 2008)

### **Legal:**

*Koch, Administrative Law and Practice*, at 491 (1985)

2A Moore's Federal Practice Manual 8-17a (2d ed. 1994)

### **EPA Guidance:**

*1990 RCRA Civil Penalty Policy* (revised in June, 2003)

*Modifications to EPA Penalty Policies* (September 21, 2004)

*2008 Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule* (Effective January 12, 2009)

RO 11101 (August 13, 1985) ( Letter from Mathew A. Strauss, Chief, Waste Identification Branch to Mr. Paul Gowen, Texas Instruments, “Regulatory Status of Solder Dross When Smelted for Lead Recovery”).

RO 11822 (March 24, 1994) (Memorandum from Michael Shapiro, Director, Office of Solid Waste to Hazardous Waste Management Division Directors, Regions I – X, “Clarification of When a Secondary Material Meets the Definition of “Spent Material”; RPPC No. 9441.1994(07)).

RO 11374 (October 27, 1988) (Memorandum from Michael Sylvia K. Lowrance, Director, Office of Solid Waste to David A. Wagoner, Director, Waste Management Division, “Pesticide Rinseate Treatment/Recycling System”; RPPC No. 9471.1988(04)).

RO 14748 (October 4, 2005) (Memorandum from Mathew Hale, Director, Office of Solid Waste to RCRA Management Division Directors, Regions I – X, “Guidance for Identifying Incidental Processing Activities”).

RO 14469 (May 26, 2000) ( Memorandum from Elizabeth A. Cotsworth, Director, Office of Solid Waste to George Pavlou, Director, Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance, EPA Region II, “Kodak Claim for Manufacturing Process Unit Exemption to the RCRA Subpart BB Air Emission Requirements).

RO 13790 (December 19, 1986) ( Letter from Joseph E. Cara, Acting Director, Waste Management Division to Mr. Hadley Bedbury, Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company, “Tank Systems Applicable to Production Tanks During Cleanout, Process Transfer Equipment, and Hose Lines”; RPPC No. 9483.1986(11)

RO 12790 (December 1, 1986) (RCRA/Superfund Hotline Monthly Summary, “Wastes Generated in Process Units”; RPPC No. 9441.1986(96)).

RO 12020 (December 30, 1980 - *SUPERSEDED*)

RO 13027 (September 1, 1987)(RCRA/Superfund Hotline Monthly Summary, “Aerosol Paint and Solvent Cans Demonstration of Reactivity”; RPPC No. 9441.1987(77)).

RO 11806 (January 4, 1994)(Letter from Michael H. Shapiro, Director, Office of Solid Waste to Mr. Michael C. Campbell, Katec Incorporated, “Regulatory Status of Waste Aerosol Cans”; RPPC No. 9442.1994(01))

RO 14235 (May 19, 1997) (Letter from Elizabeth A. Cotsworth, Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste to T.L. Nebrich, Jr., Technical Director, Waste Technology Services, Inc., “Clarification of Reactivity Characteristic as it Pertains to Aerosol Cans”; RPPC No. 9443.1997(01))

RO 11782 (October 7, 1993)(Letter from Jeffrey D. Denit, Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste to Mr. Gregory L. Crawford, Vice President Recycling Operations, Steel Recycling Institute, “Regulatory Status of Used Residential and Commercial/Industrial Acrosol Cans”; RPPC No. 9442.1993(02))

RO 11835 at 4-5. (May 9, 1994) (Letter from David Bussard, Director, Characterization and Assessment Division to Mr. Scott Mauro, Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center, "Regulatory Requirements for On-Site Treatment of Oxygen Breathing Apparatus (OBA)"; RPPC No. 9441.1994(10)).

RO 13225 (September 30, 1988)(Letter from Sylvia K Lowrance, Director, Office of Solid Waste to Kurt E. Whitman, Project Coordinator SWInc., "Aerosol Cans, On-Site Depressurization of"; RPPC No. 9432.1988(04); RO 14656 (December 1, 2002)(RCRA, Superfund & EPCRA Call Center Monthly Report, "Regulatory Status of Solvent Residue from Spray Cans"; EPA Pub. No. EPA530-R-02-0051)

RO 14759 (November 6, 1981)(Letter from Christopher J. Capper, Acting AA for Solid and Emergency Response to Lawrence W. Bierlein, Esq., Compressed Gas Association, "Residues Removed from Compressed Gas Cylinders")